

OLIVER WYMAN



Consulting Actuaries

July 16, 2008

Principles-Based Approach Update
2008 CCIA Valuation Conference for Life & Health
Actuaries & Credit Insurance Practitioners

Jim Van Elsen, FSA, MAAA, CPA, FLMI

Inter-Continental Hotel, Chicago, Illinois



Confidentiality

Our clients' industries are extremely competitive. The confidentiality of companies' plans and data is obviously critical. Oliver Wyman will protect the confidentiality of all such client information.

Similarly, management consulting is a competitive business. We view our approaches and insights as proprietary and therefore look to our clients to protect Oliver Wyman's interests in our proposals, presentations, methodologies and analytical techniques. Under no circumstances should this material be shared with any third party without the written consent of Oliver Wyman.

Copyright © 2008 Oliver Wyman



Contents

1. Framework	3
2. AAA Consistency Work Group	12
3. Calculating PBR	18
4. Resources	31

Section 1

Framework

Governing Documents

- Standard Valuation Law (“SVL”)
 - Current draft: http://www.naic.org/documents/committees_lhatf_svl.doc
 - Draft as of May 31, 2008
 - Comments to: jengelha@naic.org by July 15, 2008 (yesterday)
- Valuation Manual (“VM”)
 - Current draft: http://www.naic.org/committees_lhatf.htm
 - Draft as of May 31, 2008
 - Comments to: jengelha@naic.org by July 15, 2008 (yesterday)
- Actuarial Standards of Practice
 - Current draft – Independent Review of Principles-Based Valuations: http://www.actuary.org/pdf/life/asb_review_may07.pdf
 - Current draft – Standards for Principles-Based Reserves for Life Products: http://www.actuary.org/pdf/life/asb_reserves.pdf



A Few Definitions in Proposed SVL

- “Appointed actuary” – qualified actuary retained to prepare the actuarial opinion either directly by the board of directors or by the authority of the board of directors
- “Cash flows” – any receipt, disbursement, or transfer of cash or asset equivalents
- “Policyholder behavior” – any action a policyholder may take under a policy including, but not limited to:
 - Lapse
 - Withdrawal
 - Transfer
 - Deposit
 - Premium payment
 - Loan
 - Annuitization
 - Benefit elections
- “Principle-based valuation” – a reserve valuation specified in the VM that uses one or more methods or one or more assumptions determined by the insurer
- “Valuation manual” (“VM”) – the manual of valuation instructions adopted by the NAIC

Items to be Addressed in VM per Proposed SVL

- Specifics of the opinion of the appointed actuary
- Specifics of the memorandum of the appointed actuary
- Company exemptions
- Standards for valuation
- Minimum for principle-based valuation, greater of:
 - \$0
 - Cash surrender value
 - Present value of cash flows
- Other requirements, including but not limited to:
 - Reserve methods
 - Models for measuring risk
 - Generation of economic scenarios
 - Assumptions
 - Margins
 - Use of company experience
 - Risk measurement disclosure

Conditions for Principle-Based Valuation per the Proposed SVL

- Capture all benefits & guarantees & their identifiable, quantifiable & material risks
- Incorporate assumptions, risk analysis methods & models & management techniques that are consistent with those utilized with the company's overall risk assessment process
- Incorporate assumptions & methods that:
 - Utilize the company's anticipated experience to establish assumptions for risks specific to the company based on:
 - The availability of relevant company data
 - The degree of credibility of company data
 - A credibility weighted blending of company experience & prescribed assumptions or assumptions derived from prescribed methods for setting assumptions
 - Utilize prescribed assumptions or methods for assumptions for risks that are not specific to the company or over which the company has no control
 - Reflect a level of conservatism consistent with the objective of statutory financial reporting
 - Provide margins for uncertainty including adverse deviation & estimation error
- Use assumptions to the conservative end of the range of plausible scenarios
- Adopt internal controls
- Prepare report



Other Issues in SVL

- Caution – The commissioner may require a company to change any assumption or method that the commissioner determines is necessary in order to comply with the requirements of the VM or the SVL, & the company shall adjust the reserves as required by the commissioner.
- Experience reporting – Required to file the following experience, as prescribed in the VM:
 - Mortality
 - Morbidity
 - Policyholder behavior
 - Expenses
- Single state exemption – Commissioner may exempt specific product forms or product lines of a company that is licensed & doing business in only 1 state



Dates in the Proposed SVL

- Split valuation
 - Policies prior to operative date of VM – current approaches
 - Policies on or after operative date of VM – principle-based valuation
- Operative date of VM – January 1 of the calendar year following July 1 of the calendar year when all of the following have occurred:
 - VM adopted by NAIC by the greater of 42 members or $\frac{3}{4}$ of members voting
 - The amended SVL has been adopted by states representing at least $\frac{1}{2}$ of the direct premiums written
 - The amended SVL has been adopted by at least 42 jurisdictions
- Future changes to the VM are effective when adopted by the NAIC by the members:
 - Representing $\frac{3}{4}$ of members voting, but not less than $\frac{1}{2}$ of total membership
 - Representing $\frac{1}{2}$ of direct premiums written
- Effective date of SVL – January 1, 1944



VM Outline

- VM-00 – Introduction
- VM-01 – Definitions for terms in requirements
- VM-20 – Requirements for principles-based reserves for life products
- VM-25 – Health insurance reserves minimum reserve requirements
- VM-26 – Credit life & disability reserve requirements
- VM-30 – Actuarial opinion & memorandum requirements
- VM-31 – Reporting & documentation requirements for business subject to a principle-based reserve valuation
- VM-50 – Experience reporting requirements
- VM-51 – Experience reporting formats
- VM-A – VM appendix A
- VM-C – VM appendix C



Future Timeline

- 2008 Fall National Meeting
 - LHATF to adopt SVL
- 2008 Winter National Meeting
 - Plenary to adopt SVL
 - LHATF to adopt VM
- 2009 Summer National Meeting
 - Finalize VM process
 - Changes to AOMR
 - Transition plan
 - Adopt new mortality tables & underwriting algorithm
 - Finalize reserve review process
 - Develop interest rate generator
- 2009 - ?
 - States adopt SVL
- Effective date?

Section 2

AAA Consistency Work Group



AAA Consistency Work Group

- September 2007 Report: http://www.actuary.org/pdf/life/consistency_sept07.pdf
- Conceptual Framework – Similar to concept outlined in SVL



Purpose of Reserves

- Existing Guidance
 - Statutory Accounting Principles, Statement of Concepts
 - NAIC Risk-Based Capital Instructions
 - Current Statutory Framework
- Reserves – Under Principles-Based Approach, purpose of reserves is to make provision for future anticipated costs of benefits & guarantees
 - Should recognize asset cash flows, expenses, future premiums, & other revenues
 - Cash flows may be aggregated
 - Economic value of items related to the contracts, such as commissions or revenue sharing, should be included
 - Reserves should be set within the range of expected outcomes & include measures of anticipated experience plus a margin for uncertainty. Should reflect adjustments for simplifications in the model. Shall be reasonably conservative.
- Risk-Based Capital (“RBC”) – Purpose is to identify weakly capitalized companies
 - Should ensure that the required level of reserves remains covered
 - Should recognize at least the risks included in reserves
 - Should recognize at least the revenues included in reserves
 - Each component of RBC should be calibrated to facilitate identification of weakly capitalized companies
- Relationship of Reserves & RBC



Risks to be Reflected in Reserves

- General – Arising from actual or potential events which are both:
 - Directly related to those policies or their supporting assets
 - Determined capable of materially affecting the reserve
- Asset risks – Categories & examples
 - Separate account performance
 - Credit risks
 - Commercial mortgage loan rollover rates
 - Uncertainty in the timing or duration of asset cash flows
 - Performance of equities, real estate, & Schedule BA assets
 - Risk associated with hedge instruments
 - Currency risk

Risks to be Reflected in Reserves (cont.)

- Liability risks – Categories & examples
 - Reinsurer default, impairment, or rating downgrade known to have occurred before or on the valuation date
 - Mortality/longevity risk
 - Persistency/lapse risk
 - Early payment of benefits risks
 - Premium payment risk
 - Anticipated mortality trends
 - Annuitization risks
 - Additional premium dump-ins
 - Performance of indices in relation to contractual guarantees
- Combination risks – Categories & examples
 - Risks modeled in the company's risk assessment processes
 - Disintermediation risk



Risks Not to be Reflected in Determination of Reserves

- Asset risks – Liquidity risks associated with a “run on the bank”
- Liability risks
 - Reinsurer default, impairment, or rating downgrade occurring after the valuation date
 - Catastrophic events
 - Major breakthroughs in life extension technology that have not yet altered recently observed mortality experiences
 - Significant future reserve increases as an unfavorable scenario is realized
- General business risks
 - Deterioration of reputation
 - Future changes in anticipated experience which would be triggered if & when adverse modeled outcomes were to actually occur
 - Poor management performance
 - Expense risks associated with fluctuating amounts of new business
 - Risks associated with future economic viability of the company
 - Moral hazards
 - Fraud & theft

Section 3

Calculating PBR

Step #1 – Determine Prudent Estimate Assumptions (“PEA”)

- Determine for every risk factor that is not prescribed or stochastically modeled. Developed by applying margin to the anticipated experience assumption.
- Use own experience, if relevant and credible, to establish anticipated experience assumption.
- As appropriate, assumptions shall vary from scenario to scenario
- PEA shall be:
 - Based on available, relevant and credible experience, including, but not limited to, the company’s own experience and industry experience
 - Set to produce an overall value for the reserve that is consistent with the objectives of statutory reporting
 - Reviewed periodically and updated as appropriate



Mortality Assumption

- Much of process is still undefined – The following is clearly oversimplified
- Credibility segment – Have similar underwriting and mortality experience characteristics
- For each credibility segment, determine if it qualifies for the simplified method (TBD)
 - No. Use underwriting scoring procedure to determine applicable valuation table (2008 CSO?)
 - Yes. Use blend of actual experience and valuation table determined from the underwriting scoring procedure



Policyholder Behaviors

- Premium payment patterns
- Premium persistency
- Surrenders
- Withdrawals
- Allocations between available investment and crediting options
- Benefit utilizations
- Other option elections
- Any other identified behavior



Policyholder Behavior Assumptions

- General
 - Shall reflect expectations regarding variations relative to characteristics that have a significant impact on reserves
 - Shall be appropriate for the block of business valued
 - Shall be based on actual experience, if possible
 - Shall reflect the outcomes and events exhibited by historical experience only to the extent it is relevant
 - Shall reflect the likelihood that policyholder behavior will be affected by any significant increase in value of a product option
 - Shall be assigned to policies in a manner that provides appropriate level of granularity
- Dynamic assumptions where appropriate
- Margins for behavior – Provides for credibility, sensitivity, uncertainty, assumption that policyholder behavior is more efficient over time, and is higher where marketing and/or administrative practices encourage anti-selection
- Sensitivity testing – Must evaluate materiality of alternative assumptions, update as appropriate, and, for flexible premium policies, evaluate specific and other relevant premium scenarios



Expense Assumptions

- Fully allocated
- Include taxes, licenses & fees
- Do not include federal income taxes
- IT development costs and other capital expenditures may be spread over a reasonable number of years
- Assume the company is a going-concern
- Shall be related to appropriate basis, such as per death incurred, per policy inforce, etc.
- Must reflect inflation
- Shall not assume future improvements
- Shall be consistent with other assumptions
- Old policy assumptions need to be consistent with new business assumptions
- Add appropriate margins

Step #2 – Determine Deterministic Reserve Assumptions

- Interest rate movements
 - Begins with market yield curve on the projection start date
 - Ultimate curve is based on 65 CTE statistics obtained from the recalibrated C3 Phase 1 generator
 - Rates linearly progress to ultimate rates
- Prescribed S&P 500 returns and separate account fund performance – Begins with current 10-year Treasury rate and progress to ultimate 10-year Treasury rate per the CTE statistics, in the same manner as for the interest rate movements
- Net spreads
 - Starting assets
 - Market experience for default costs, unless company can justify other
 - Margins should be higher when there is greater uncertainty, volatility, or limited experience
 - Reinvestment assets – Prescribed net spread equal to risk-free based rate plus x% fixed spread (TBD)



Step #3 – Determine Stochastic Reserve Assumptions

- Interest rate movements
 - AAA C3 Phase 1 interest rate generator, or
 - Prescribed set of scenarios determined by NAIC, or
 - Proprietary scenario sets (calibrated), or
 - Interest rate generator developed by the company (calibrated)

- Equity return paths
 - Prescribed equity return generator, or
 - AAA pre-packaged scenarios, or
 - Proprietary scenario sets (calibrated), or
 - Equity return model developed by the company (calibrated)

Step #4 – Calculate Deterministic Reserve

- Seriatim approach based on a projection of cash flows over a single scenario, using PEA for assumptions that are not prescribed
- Per policy reserve is equal to the greater of the seriatim reserve and the cash surrender value, adjusted for reinsurance
- Seriatim reserve – Gross premium valuation (“GPV”) methodology
 - + Present value of future benefits
 - + Present value of future expenses
 - + Policy account value invested in the separate account
 - + Policy loan balance
 - Present value of future gross premiums
 - Present value of future net cash flows from the general account
 - Present value of future net policy loan cash flows
 - Present value of net reinsurance discrete cash flows
 - Present value of net reinsurance allocated cash flows
 - Present value of future derivative liability program net cash flows
- Deterministic reserve is the sum of the per policy reserve for all policies



Step #5 – Stochastic Modeling Exclusion

- Companies that qualify, may exclude some business from calculation of stochastic reserves
- If qualifies, reserve Modified Deterministic Reserve, “MDR” is equal to the sum of:
 - GPVAD (defined later) using valuation assumptions and cash flows used to determine deterministic reserves
 - Per policy deterministic reserves
- Exclusions
 - Demonstrate that MDR provides for all material risks, or
 - Pass the stochastic exclusion test

Stochastic Exclusion Test

- Based on 16 deterministic scenarios
- Calculate GPAVD for each scenario
- For new block of business, calculate GPV
- Base scenario assumes that all future interest shocks are zero (level scenario)
- Test (test value TBD)
 - HSR – Highest scenario reserve
 - BSR – Base scenario reserve
 - PVP – Present value of premiums

$$\frac{HSR - BSR}{BSR + PVP}$$

Calculate Stochastic Reserve

Greatest Present Value of Accumulated Deficiency (“GPVAD”) Approach

- At the end of each projection year and the model start date calculate for each scenario:
 - Net accumulated asset amount
 - Accumulated deficiency – Negative of net accumulated asset amount for each model segment
 - Discounted value of accumulated deficiency as of the projection start date for each model segment
 - Reserve is equal to the sum of:
 - Deterministic reserve
 - Maximum of the discounted value of accumulated deficiencies (positive or negative)
- Rank the scenario reserves from lowest to highest
- Calculate the average of the highest x% of the scenario reserves (TBD)
- Add, if necessary, an amount to capture any material risk not already reflected



Other Issues

- Much still being debated
- Software still under development
- What is annual statement presentation of assumption changes
- Reinsurance
- Margins
- Projection start date – up to 3 months prior to valuation date
- Quarterly calculations
- What do projected earnings look like – SOA project

Section 4

Online Resources

Important Online Resource Links

- Academy of Actuaries
 - C3 Phase II RBC & Reserves Project: <http://www.actuary.org/life/phase2.asp>
 - Core Principles: http://www.actuary.org/pdf/life/consistency_sept07.pdf
 - Life Capital Work Group Update: <http://www.soa.org/files/pdf/2008-qc-boyko-dirico-06.pdf>
 - Principles-Based Project: <http://www.actuary.org/risk.asp>
- Actuarial Standards Board (Discussion Drafts)
 - Standards for Independent Review: http://www.actuary.org/pdf/life/asb_review_may07.pdf
 - Standards for Reserves: http://www.actuary.org/pdf/life/asb_reserves.pdf
- NAIC
 - Capital Adequacy Task Force: http://www.naic.org/committees_e_capad.htm
 - Life & Health Actuarial Task Force: http://www.naic.org/committees_lhatf.htm
 - Principles-Based Reserving Working Group: http://www.naic.org/committees_ex_pbr_wg.htm
- Society of Actuaries
 - *The Financial Reporter* – Karen Rudolph: <http://www.soa.org/library/newsletters/financial-reporter/2008/march/frn-2008-iss72.pdf>
 - *Small Talk* – Norman Hill: <http://www.soa.org/library/newsletters/small-talk/2008/june/stn-2008-iss30-hill.pdf>
 - *Taxing Times* – Christian Des Rochers: <http://www.soa.org/library/newsletters/taxing-times/2008/may/tax-2008-vol4-iss2-desrochers.pdf>

OLIVER WYMAN



MARSH MERCER KROLL
GUY CARPENTER OLIVER WYMAN